

An iterative approach for modelbased requirements engineering in large collaborative projects: A detailed experience report

Andrey Sadovykh, Bilal Said, Dragos Truscan, Hugo Bruneliere

A. Sadovykh, B. Said, D. Truscan, and H. Bruneliere, "An iterative approach for model-based requirements engineering in large collaborative projects: A detailed experience report," *Science of Computer Programming*, vol. 232, p. 103047, Jan. 2024, doi: <u>10.1016/j.scico.2023.103047</u>.

Context

- European research projects (ECSEL, Horizon Europe, Celtic, ITEA) with many partners
 - 30-40 on average in some projects
- Diversity of partners(-> good for complementarity):
 - Background
 - Size
 - Application domain
- Interest(technology developer, technology adopter, research)
- Technical level
- Academic vs industrial
- Challenges:
 - Elicitation of needs for technology adopters in different domains
 - Identification of technical solutions per partner
 - Development and evaluation roadmap planning



Example, Collaboration, Complementarities and Differences (MegaM@Rt project)

			Case study providers						Technology providers (Tools and Methods)																			
		TRT	CSY	IKER	TEK	NOK	VCE	BT	САМ	AINA	SOFT	SMA	ARM	UPAU	ATOS	UCAN	UOC	FTS	UAQ	INT	RO	ABO	SSF	VTT	CON	MDH	sics	BUT
Application Domain	Transportation	x	x				x	x	x		Modelio	Smartes	AM3, EMEVie	Model	EMF,		EMFtoCS		EMF,	CHESS (Modeling)	Complete		LIME		rmiq De			
	Smart warehouse			х							Suite	Tools (Certifylt Ne / F MBeetle)	WS,	n	n Acceleo, (PauWa OCL, re, UML2,	Collaboro			ATL,	& MOSES	sistency		1001361					
	Telecom				х	x				x			F, ATL	re,					MARTE,	ce Require								
	Industrial Control			x					х				•	SCXML) Profile	Profiles	ofiles			UML2	Analysis)	check							
ics	Domain specific languages	х	x			x			x		x	x	х	x	x	х	x					x						x
top	Requirements modeling	х			x	x	x	х			x					х		x		x	x					x		
ion	Aspect oriented modeling	x									х				x							x						x
vat	MB Verification	x	x			x	x	x		x					x	х	x	x		x	x	x				х	x	x
ŭ	MB Performance Analysis				x					x						х			x	x								
-	Simulation	х		x	x	x		х						x		х										x		
	MB Validation	х	x			x	x	x		x		x			x		x	x	x			x			x	x	x	
	(MB) Runtime verification	х		x	x	x	x	x	х	x				x								x	x	x		x	x	x
	MB testing (online &offline)			x	x	x	x	х	х	x		x				х						х	х		x	x	х	x
	Requirements/system traceability			x		x	x	х			х		х			х		x		x		х			х	x		
	MB collaboration and governance						x	х			х		х				x	x										
	Continuous Development			x	x	x				x	x	x	х	x	x	х		x				x	x	х				x
	Anti-pattern detection	x													x		x		x									
	Root-cause analysis					x	x	x		x													x	x		x	x	
	Model Management & Storage					x					x		х					x	x									



Model structure (MegaM@Rt project)

- MegaMaRt2Architecture
- 🗸 🍗 Requirements Level
 - Case Study Scenarios
 - 🕆 🚡 Case Study Requirements
 - 🗸 ` 🛅 MegaM@Rt Tool Set Requirements
 - MMRT Framework Requirements
 - 👌 🛅 Tool component purpose
- 🗸 🎲 Architecture Level
 - ✓ ≥ MegaM@Rt2 Architecture
 - 🗸 🛅 Conceptual Tool Set
 - > `🕄 MegaM@Rt Framework
 - Tool Set Components
 - Common Interfaces
 - > b Common Frameworks
 - > b Tool Components

Textual Requirements

Notional Architecture



Framework requirements (MegaM@Rt project)

🕕 Me	gaM	/aRt2Architecture - Modelio 3.6								- 0		×
File E	dit	Configuration Views Help										
] 🔊	0		j 61	📽 🙁	🗸 🏥 💼 🏪 🎫 😡 📰 📰 🍃 ConvertNotes Crea	teNote						-
e: Mo	del D	x (x	🛄 S	YS-CSR	System Engineering 🔀			÷	ж	ዮ 🕹 🏇 🗂	1	8
	[🖻 💠 🔷 🛠 🗘 🌾 🖄 🗢		ld	Definition	Criticality		Release		References	^	볾
~	2	MegaMaRt2Architecture	5	SYS-000001	The SE must support a teamwork collaboration environment.	High	•	Final	•			
	~ `	RequirementsPurpose	6	SYS-000002	The SE must provide an advanced graphical user interface (GUI) to simplify the	High	•	Final	•			Ø
		> Case Study Scenarios	7	SYS-000004	The SE must support the HW/SW co-design.	High	•	Final	•			9.
		> Case Study Requirements	8	SYS-000005	The SE must provide smarter design exploration techniques based on a pre-analysis	High	•	Final	•			
		MegaM@Rt Tool Set Require MMRT Framework Rec System Engineer	9	SYS-010100	The SE must support standard modelling languages, standard profiles (i.e. AADL, UML, SysML, MARTE, fUML, UTP) and profile customisation capability.	High	•	Baseline	•			
		> C Runtime Analysi	10	SYS-010200	The SE must support domain specific modelling languages (i.e. EAST-ADL, FDB).	High	•	Baseline	•			1
		> System Engineer	11	SYS-010400	The SE must provide the mean to generate project and design documents from the	High	•	Baseline	•			
		> 📜 Model & Iracea	12	SYS-020201	The SE must allow modelling the functional requirements based on stakeholder	High	•	Final	•			
		S Coole	13	SYS-020202	The SE must allow modelling the non-functional/extra-functional requirements	High	•	Final	•			IRISB
		Dictionany	14	SYS-020300	The SE must extend the "intra-model" requirements traceability across the whole	High	•	Baseline	•			
	, ·	Architecture Level	15	SYS-030101	The SE must support the architectural views definition and modelling.	High	•	Final	•		-	
		MegaM@Rt2 Architecture	16	SYS-030301	The SE must provide modelling adopting separation of concerns principle.	High	•	Baseline	•			
		✓ ¹ □ Conceptual Tool Set	17	SYS-030401	The SE must support the system variability modelling	High	•	Baseline	-			
		> 👌 MegaM@Rt Frai	18	SYS-030402	The SE must support the reuse of existing models or patterns	High	•	Baseline	•		-	
		 Tool Set Components 	19	SYS-040201	The SE must provide the means to model non-functional/extra-functional	High	•	Baseline	-		-	
		> 🛅 Common Interfa	20	SYS-060000	The SE must provide advanced test design capabilities to support the verification	High	•	Baseline	•		-	
		> 📜 Common Frame 🗸 🗌	21	CVC_060001	The CC and a compared also for all of the local fields in the second sec	10.4	_	Deceliar	_		~	
<		× × ×	Tab	le Form								



Tool components requirements and traceability



Roadmap example (MegaM@Rt project)

time

Framework Features	Baseline	Initial	Intermediate	Final
RTA-00006: The framework shall provide requirement traceability facilities at runtime	CERTIFYIT- 110 CQDESIGN- 060	JTL-050 [done]	JTL-030 [in progress] JTL-040 [in progress] MBEETLE-090 MBEETLE-110	MATERA2-090 MBEETLE-100
RTA-00007: Trace monitoring and online testing at runtime shall improve trace analysis results and localization of faults	AIPHS-010 AIPHS-020 AIPHS-030 CERTIFYIT- 080 CERTIFYIT- 090		MBEETLE-090	AIPHS-060 [planned] COMPTEST-030
RTA-00008: Trace monitoring and online testing shall be combined at runtime to verify functional as well as non-functional properties in a synergic way	MATERA2- 070 AIPHS-010 AIPHS-020 AIPHS-030	LIME-010 [done]		MBEETLE-010 [in progress] COMPTEST-030
RTA-00009: Trace analysis tools shall be able to collect and analyse information from different sources and using different techniques				JTL-060 PADRE-060 [planned]

Tool Requirement



Generated documents (MegaM@Rt project)



Figure 107 Relation to Framework

Details on realized conceptual tools

Relation	Conceptual Tool	Category
The model can be simulated and verified using UPPAAL with respect to the requirements of the system.	Runtime verification and online testing tools	Runtime Analysis Tool Set
MBMÅA provides monitoring support and allows to analyze execution traces specified in textual format.	Monitoring and logs analysis tool set	Runtime Analysis Tool Set
The MATERA2 tool set allows to model functional and non-functional properties of real-time systems which can be simulated and verified using UPPAAL.	Model Verification & Validation	System Engineering Tool Set
Functional and non-functional properties of real-time systems are modeled with UPPAAL timed automata.	Detailed Design Modelling	System Engineering Tool Set

- Live document
- Unified project document style
- In sync with Requirements and Architecture changes
- Submitted as a project deliverables with minor polishing



An Iterative Approach for Model-based Requirements Engineering in Large Collaborative Projects: A Detailed Experience Report

7 years, 5 collaborative projects, 100s engineers, MBRE





Tool support: modelling, analysis, documents





Extended Metamodel (AIDOaRt)







Number of	DataBio	REVaMP2	MegaM@Rt2	${f VeriDevOps}^*$	$\operatorname{AIDOaRt}^*$
Partners	48	27	27	7	31
Countries	17	5	6	4	7
Case studies	27	7	9	2	15
Project months	36	36	36	36	36
Registered users	55	43	56	15	100
Contributors	31~(56%)	24~(56%)	27 (48 %)	7 (47%)	60 (60%)
Commits	958	534	1322	328	2548
Requirements:	=181	=535	=428	=124	=455
(Case Study r.	77	190	106	39	363
+ Framework r.	104	56	91	NA ^{\$}	17
+ Tool r.)	NA [#]	289	231	85	75
Model elements ^{\dagger}	=5406	=3307	=4744	=2087	=9512
(Requirements	535	1091	2351	1211	3507
+ Architecture)	4871	2216	2393	876	6005
Pages generated	61	109	125	120	$\sim 160 \ge 11^{\ddagger}$



Modelling intensity over the time





3 46 project participants. Overall positive feedback

- Q1: In your opinion, did you find this graphical model-based approach useful in different activities of Requirements Engineering?
- Q2: In your opinion, do you see the modeling approach as an improvement compared to other non-modeling(e.g., text-only or tablebased) regarding the following aspects?
- Q3: In your opinion, did you find the following Modelio tool features useful in different Requirements Engineering activities?
- Q4: Please indicate your opinion on the implementation of the MBRE approach using Modelio.





Overall assessment

Lessons

- Model as a common language
- Project Planning
- Framework Architecture
- Language and Model Complexity
- Learning Curve
- MBRE and Collaboration

Challenges

- From Requirements to Source Code
- User Training and Support
- Collaborative and Online Model Editing
- Automation and Production-Readiness

Demonstrated

- Scalability
- Heterogeneity
- Adaptability and Extensibility
- Traceability
- Automation
- Consistency and Quality
- Usefulness and Usability



Thank you for your attention



SOFTEAM⁻

Micro-tasks





Implementation







VeriDevOps - automating security requirements verification in DevOps



UNE MARQUE DE DOCAPOSTE